GOVERNMENTAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE (GAC):
MINUTES OF MEETING, ICANN65 Marrakech, 24-27 June 2019

1.   MEETING ATTENDANCE & MEMBERSHIP

Seventy-one (71) GAC Members and six (6) Observers attended the meeting in-person. Six (6) Members attended the meeting remotely.

GAC membership currently stands at 178 Members, and 36 Observers.

A list of ICANN65 GAC meeting attendees is provided in Attachment 1.

The GAC Marrakech Communiqué is published on the GAC website at:
https://gac.icann.org/contentMigrated/icann65-marrakech-communique.

Presentations used by speakers during the meeting and supporting briefing prepared for
the GAC can be accessed from the GAC website: https://gac.icann.org/agendas/icann65-marrakech-agenda

Full transcripts for each session are to be made available from the ICANN65 Public Meeting website, via
the relevant agenda items on the GAC's website agenda page listed above.

2.   PUBLIC POLICY AND SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES

2.1.   WHOIS and Data Protection Legislation

The GAC considered the status of ongoing efforts to ensure WHOIS compliance with GDPR - in particular Phase 2 of the Expedited Policy Development Process (EPDP) on gTLD Registration Data. Current EPDP timeline assumptions were presented as consistent with GAC Kobe Communiqué advice, with "considerable progress" still expected by ICANN66 and finalization before ICANN68 (April-May 2020 timeframe). Some GAC members expressed concerns with project progress to date due to divergence of views between stakeholder groups on fundamental concepts. Concerns with the acceptability of certain scenarios and models being discussed in the EPDP were expressed, in particular ICANN org serving as a single point of entry for accessing registration data.

GAC members were offered a presentation by proponents of a model for access to non-public registration data which illustrated challenges and possible solutions for: credentialing legitimate requestors, ensuring compliance with diverse legal frameworks, enabling accountability of actors in the system, and addressing issues around privacy and proxy services.

Members of the GAC Small Group on GDPR introduced a draft GAC Early Input into EPDP Phase 2 which aims to provide clarity on GAC objectives and positions on key terms and assumptions being discussed. Input from the GAC Membership was requested.

The European Commission encouraged GAC Members to consider identifying public authorities requiring access to non-public registration data, as it reported on its own ongoing effort across the European Union. The volume of distinct authorities already identified (300+) is an argument for a centralized approach to authenticating authorities and accessing registration data, while the

impossibility of assembling a final and exhaustive list of such authorities justifies considering common purposes for access to non-public data.

Action Points:
- **GAC Members** to comment on the proposed draft GAC Early Input to EPDP Phase 2 immediately after ICANN65.
- **European Commission** to share results of its effort to identify public authorities requiring non-public registration data in the European Union.
- **GAC Members** to consider assembling indicative lists of their public authorities and other relevant parties requiring non-public registration data.
- **GAC Small Group and GAC Representatives on the EPDP** to consider possibilities to increase GAC Membership involvement in EPDP deliberations despite exceptional circumstances.
- **GAC Members** to consider joining the GAC Small Group on GDPR and following EPDP deliberations (all conducted publicly).

2.2. Two-Character Country Codes at the Second Level

The GAC held two plenary sessions regarding two-character country codes at the second level. The first session on 24 June focused on GAC consideration of the explanations provided by the ICANN Board and ICANN org to satisfy the request provided as GAC Consensus Advice in the ICANN63 Barcelona Communiqué and any potential follow-up by the committee.

Certain GAC members expressed continued concerns with the release of country codes, as reiterated in previous GAC Communiqués, including:
- Governments losing the ability to play a role in the release of their country codes and the ICANN Board not taking appropriate actions.
- Procedural flaws in the decision making process in relation to the Board decision of 8 November 2016
- An assessment of why, from a GAC perspective, "the removal of the 'Authorization Process' was inconsistent with GAC Advice".

Draft language was shared with the GAC to be considered as part of the GAC Marrakech communiqué. Later in the week, the GAC agreed to provide Follow-up on Previous Advice in the communiqué regarding this topic.

A second plenary session on 25 June provided GAC members with a briefing on the background of the two-character country codes issue by ICANN org staff and a live demonstration of the new Second Level Country Code Registration Search tool that was developed and introduced by ICANN prior to the ICANN64 meeting in Kobe, Japan. GAC members offered feedback, comments and suggestions for improvements to the tool, highlighting that the value and effectiveness of the tool is still being evaluated.

Action Point:
- **Interested GAC members** to continue to use registration tool and prepare to share further feedback at the ICANN66 Montreal meeting.

2.3. Dot Amazon applications

The GAC discussed the .AMAZON applications during two sessions in Marrakech - a GAC plenary session and the GAC meeting with the ICANN Board.

During the GAC plenary session on Tuesday (25 June) GAC members were briefed by ICANN staff on the latest developments regarding the .AMAZON applications. In preparation for a later discussion with the ICANN Board, individual GAC members expressed their disappointment with the latest ICANN Board decisions and the continued need for the proceeding to result in a mutually acceptable solution for all parties. Noting that a request for reconsideration of the recent Board decision has been submitted, it individual GAC members were encouraged to express their views on the latest developments to Board members when they came to meet with the GAC and for concerned countries to take advantage of upcoming public comment opportunities regarding the applications.

During the meeting with the ICANN Board, several GAC members took the opportunity to express their concerns about the recent Board decision to find the Amazon corporation proposal of 17 April 2019 acceptable and directing the ICANN org to continue processing of the .AMAZON applications according to the policies and procedures of the New gTLD Program.

Several references were made to the follow-up on previous GAC advice expressed in the ICANN60 Abu Dhabi GAC Communiqué in which the GAC expressed "the need to find a mutually acceptable solution in the case of the .Amazon gTLD applications for the countries affected and for the Amazon corporation." The comments to the Board reflected the concerns of several GAC members that a mutually accepted solution between the parties has not yet been achieved and more time should be given.

Board members expressed disappointment that the parties were not able to come together and explained their view that the Board followed the rules and had acted fairly and in good faith. Noting the expectation of some form of GAC advice to be forthcoming, it was expressed that the Board looked forward to receiving any GAC advice and would stand to receive it and respond. GAC members were also encouraged to provide comments once the Amazon company public interest commitments were published for community comment.

2.4. Subsequent Rounds of New gTLDs

Leadership of the New gTLD Subsequent Procedures PDP Working Group provided an update on policy development for subsequent rounds of New gTLDs. The WG now expects completion of policy development by the end of 2019, including after processing of an additional Public Comment period regarding new policy considerations not yet submitted for community review.

The ICANN org presented operational assumptions it had released prior to ICANN65, as part of its preparation for what it considers to be a multi-year implementation process, in coordination with the ICANN Board.

GAC Members sought clarification or expressed views on limiting the amount of applications and the issue of equal access in subsequent rounds of new gTLDs. It was noted that the need for "an objective

and independent analysis of costs and benefits" called for in GAC Helsinki Communiqué Advice call had not been addressed in PDP WG.

Launch of the GAC Focal Group on Subsequent Rounds of new gTLDs (as agreed by GAC members during ICANN64) was also discussed and is the subject of section 4.5 of these minutes (see below).

2.5. DNS Abuse Mitigation Discussion with PSWG

GAC Public Safety Working Group leaders highlighted the importance of addressing DNS Abuse, as evidenced by recent examples of Botnet/Malware and Business Email Compromise schemes which were presented. These served to explain why the GAC should persist in its long-standing efforts - going back to the Beijing communiqué - to institute a more proactive and rigorous approach to abuse prevention and mitigation. The discussion also touched on the ongoing ICANN Community debates on what constitutes DNS Abuse, given that the contracts and accreditation rules do not contain a definition.

As part of the presentation, ICANN org's Contractual Compliance and Consumer Safeguards Department reported to the GAC on its DNS Infrastructure Abuse auditing of contracted parties, including on the basis of contractual provisions included in the Registry Agreement following GAC Beijing Communiqué Safeguard Advice. A public report of the registries audit is expected in July 2019, while auditing of registrars is expected to start in September. Challenges with a minority of contracted parties were highlighted, in particular the lack of appropriate contractual tools to address certain abusive behavior. The GAC was advised that policy development or contractual amendments would be required to close these gaps.

GAC and PSWG Members discussed tools available to contracted parties and ICANN to further prevent and mitigate abuse including: validation of registrant contact information (.DK example), leveraging data gathered in ICANN's Domain Abuse Activity Reporting (DAAR) project and implementing relevant Competition, Consumer Trust and Consumer Choice (CCT) Review Recommendations (Recommendations 14 and 15 in particular).

Action Points:
- **PSWG** to advise the GAC on possible follow-up to GAC Hyderabad and Copenhagen Communiqué Advice during ICANN66
- **PSWG** to monitor progress towards timely implementation of CCT Review recommendations related to DNS Abuse mitigation.
- **GAC** to take part in planned cross-community discussions during ICANN66

2.6. Protection of Geographic Names in Any Future Expansion of gTLDs

Leaders of Work Track 5 (WT5) of the New gTLD Subsequent Procedures PDP WG briefed the GAC on ongoing work to review community comments received on its Supplemental Initial Report and reported on the difficulties to find consensus. The GAC was advised that unless new policy is agreed upon in Work Track 5, the outcome of this track of policy development for future rounds of New gTLDs will likely be the confirmation of existing policy regarding the protection of: Country and Territory Names

(Preliminary recommendations. 2-9), Geographic terms requiring letters of support or non-objection (Preliminary Recommendations 10, 12, 13) and Geographic terms requiring letters of support or non-objection depending on Intended Use (Preliminary Recommendation 11).

GAC Members discussed a variety of policy options under consideration in WT5, including: a possible role for the GAC and its members to assist new gTLD Applicants in identifying applicable public authorities from which to request a letter of support when required; the role of a potential advisory panel to facilitate discussions between governments and applicants; and whether making additional geographic names available (beyond existing policy) is desirable.

Action Point:
● **GAC Member**s to participate in deliberations and provide input on policy options being discussed in WT5

2.7. IGO Protections (GAC/GNSO Dialogue)

In place of a GAC plenary session on the topic, the GAC Chair advised members of a discussion scheduled and conducted between representatives of the GNSO Council, GAC and IGOs, which was observed by a Board member, concerning the shared hope of agreeing on parameters under which to charter a focused group to produce policy recommendations which address concerns raised over IGO access to a curative dispute resolution mechanism.

3. ICANN ACCOUNTABILITY

3.1. Board-GAC Interaction Group (BGIG)

The GAC met with the Board-GAC Interaction Group (BGIG) in plenary session and discussed:

● The ICANN Board scorecard in response to the GAC Kobe Communiqué
● The timetable for Board review of the GAC Marrakech Communiqué
● Consideration of pending or deferred GAC advice

GAC Members suggested GAC/ICANN Board exchanges following ICANN meetings be less formal and more in depth, and that a regular BGIG call be established.

Action Points:
● **GAC leadership and support staff** to work with ICANN Board to schedule Marrakech Communiqué Clarification call
● **GAC leadership and support staff** to explore options for intersessional BGIG call between the Marrakech and Montreal GAC meetings.

3.2. ICANN ATRT3 Review

The GAC met in plenary session with members of the GAC Work Party of the ATRT3. The GAC Work Party presented several questions regarding the GAC and its relationships with the ICANN Board and other ICANN constituencies. GAC members were invited to volunteer for future interviews with the

work party. GAC members also considered updated information on the Competition, Consumer Trust and Consumer Choice (CCT) Review and the Registration Directory Service Review.

Action Point:
- **The GAC leadership** expects to address and circulate further requests for information from the ATRT3 GAC Work Party which will then be shared with interested GAC members.

3.3. ICANN RDS-WHOIS2 Review

The GAC received an update on the conclusions of the RDS-WHOIS2 Review, in particular on its assessment of the implementation of the recommendations made by the first WHOIS Review Team in May 2012. The GAC was advised that while ICANN org deemed all 16 recommendations fully implemented, the WHOIS2-RDS Review found that 7 were only partially implemented and 1 not implemented at all.

With respect to the Bylaws-mandated evaluation by the Review Team of whether WHOIS/RDS meets the needs of law enforcement, a survey conducted as part of this Review indicated that 97% of responding agencies felt that WHOIS met investigative needs, partially or fully, prior to May 2018. After this date, 60 percent of respondents said WHOIS no longer met their needs because of their inability to pursue investigations.

Action Point:
- **GAC Members** to consider the Final Report and Recommendation of the RDS-WHOIS2 Review Team to be published in the weeks following ICANN65.

3.4. ICANN CCT Review

The GAC noted the status quo of implementation of the recommendations from the CCT Review Team since the 1 March 2019 ICANN Board resolution which adopted 6 recommendations, referred 14 (in whole or in part) to specific community groups, and placed 17 (in whole or in part) in pending status. It was explained that clarity on the status of implementation of each recommendation, and implementation of the recommendations relevant to previous GAC advice on ensuring consumer trust, consumer choice and competition, will be required before subsequent rounds of new gTLDs can be launched.

Action Point:
- **GAC Focal Group** on Subsequent Rounds of New gTLDs to track implementation of relevant CCT Review Recommendations

4. GAC WORKING GROUPS (ON OTHER ISSUES)

4.1. GAC Public Safety Working Group (PSWG)

The GAC PSWG continued the discussion with the GAC on abuse mitigation measures. In line with its previous communiqués, the group noted that DNS abuse threatens the security and stability of the DNS, the universal acceptance of TLDs and consumer trust. This is also reflected in the recommendations of the Consumer Trust, Consumer Choice and Competition (CCT) Review Team whose importance the GAC highlighted in its Kobe Communiqué. The GAC agreed to engage with the ICANN community on a more effective approach to abuse mitigation, also with a view to the adoption of effective abuse mitigation policies for subsequent rounds of new gTLDs. The PSWG indicated that next steps should include the renewed engagement with the ICANN organization to obtain further clarification on a number of implementation questions contained in the annex to the Hyderabad Communiqué; the follow-up on the CCT Review Team recommendations; and a cross-community session at ICANN66 in Montreal.

4.2. GAC Human Rights and International Law Working Group (HRIL WG)

The HRIL WG Co-Chairs presented to the GAC a report on the work conducted since ICANN64 on the options paper relative to implementing the ICANN Human Rights Core Values in GAC operations. Information on gender diversity and accessibility was also shared. The Working Group Co-Chairs will continue working with the Cross Community Working Party on Human Rights to possibly produce a cross community session for ICANN66. ICANN org provided an update on its Human Rights Impact Assessment (HRIA). GAC members are invited to contribute inter-sessionally on all topics relative to the HRIL WG.

Action Points:
- **GAC Support** to submit questions on HRIA to ICANN org Public Responsibility Support Team & share answers with HRIL WG and GAC mailing lists.
- **HRIL WG** to continue working on options paper on the implementation of Human Rights Core Value.
- **HRIL WG** to submit Cross-Community/High Interest Topic Session Proposal to GAC Chair for ICANN66 planning purposes.

4.3. GAC Underserved Regions Working Group (USRWG)

The Working Group completed its pre-ICANN65 capacity building workshop for GAC Members from Africa with the generous support of the Moroccan Government and Moroccan National Regulatory Authority. The GAC will review the USRWG's Capacity Development Evaluation Report with a plan to endorse the report prior to ICANN66. During its meeting in GAC plenary session, the USRWG discussed its Work Plan for endorsement by the GAC, progress on amending GAC Travel Support Rules, possible review and enhancement of the Applicant Support Program for subsequent rounds of new gTLDs, and funding for future capacity building workshops for ICANN Fiscal Year 2020 and beyond. The USRWG confirmed its commitment to continue future capacity building efforts with

ALAC. The GAC was informed that Karel Douglas (Trinidad and Tobago) will act as interim co-chair to the WG until further notice.

Action Points:
- **USRWG** to share its work plan with the GAC for review and endorsement
- **USRWG** to share revised version of GAC Travel Support Rules with the GAC by mid September 2019
- **USRWG and ICANN Government Engagement team** to develop four (4) workshops for FY20 (Latin America, Asia, Pacific, and Middle East regions)

4.4. GAC Operating Principles Evolution Working Group (GOPE WG)

The GOPE WG met in non-plenary and plenary sessions during ICANN65 to discuss a GAC Working Group Guidelines document, developed since ICANN64 by GOPE WG Members, to supplement the GAC Operating Principles. The WG Chair invited GAC members to provide input on the draft guidelines, drafted by WG members, integrating original language from the GAC 2016 WG Guidelines, new language drafted by WG members and language from other SO/AC WG guidelines as reference for GAC review. The WG Chair noted the guidelines have not yet been reviewed by the GOPE WG but only compiled. The WG's target for the completion of the initial review of language for consistency, content and wordsmithing is ICANN66.

Action Points:
- **GOPE WG** to review the WG guidelines document following ICANN65
- **GOPE WG** to consider interviewing current WG leads to identify potential issues, items of relevance for the development of WG guidelines

4.5. GAC Focal Group on new gTLDs Subsequent Procedures

The Focal Group, formed following ICANN64 to build capacity within the GAC and potentially consider policy input into discussions of subsequent rounds of New gTLDs, met for the first time in Marrakech. Twelve GAC participants have volunteered to participate in this effort and are also encouraged to participate in the activities of the New gTLDs Subsequent Procedures PDP Working Group. The Focal Group informed the GAC that it will prioritize its work based on the "Preliminary GAC Scorecard: Status of Substantive Areas of Interest to the GAC on the Subsequent Procedures of New gTLDs". The Focal Group will brief the GAC on its deliberations and discussions, and will not speak on behalf of the GAC.

Action Points:
- **GAC Members** have been invited to consider joining the GAC Focal Group
- **GAC Focal Group** will continue review of the "Preliminary GAC Scorecard: Status of Substantive Areas of Interest to the GAC on the Subsequent Procedures of new gTLDs".
- **GAC Focal Group** to meet intersessionally 1-2 times per month, as required.
- **GAC Support Staff** to keep GAC Focal Group up to date on relevant developments in the GNSO new gTLDs Subsequent Procedures PDP WG, including expected timeline of discussions on specific policy areas.

- **GAC Support Staff** to revise Focal Group documentation towards including GAC Advice and prior agreed language on specific policy issues, as well as high level agreements reached in the GNSO new gTLDs Subsequent Procedures PDP WG.

5.   CROSS COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT

5.1.   Meeting with the ICANN Board

The GAC met in plenary session with the ICANN Board and discussed:
- Evolving ICANN's Multistakeholder Model
- Two-Character Country Codes as Second Level Domains
- GAC Capacity Building Sustainability Efforts
- IGO Protections
- Domain Registration and Data Protection Matters - GDPR/EPDP
- .AMAZON Applications

5.2.   Meeting with the At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)

The GAC met in plenary session with members of the ALAC and discussed:
- Follow-up on the ICANN64 Joint GAC/ALAC Statement on the EPDP
- Capacity Building initiatives and the GAC/ALAC Focus Group on this matter
- Other initiatives for intersessional cooperation

The GAC and ALAC agreed to continue to progress their joint-capacity building efforts and to cooperate on policy issues of interest for governments and end users regarding subsequent rounds of New gTLDs. In principle, GAC and ALAC exchanges could take place within the GAC Focus Group on Subsequent Rounds of New gTLDs, subject to further consideration.

Action Points:
- **GAC and ALAC Topic Leads** to work to develop a work plan for future GAC/ALAC policy issues initiatives for presentation and discussion at ICANN66.
- **GAC Focal Group** to consider interactions with ALAC as appropriate

5.3.   Meeting with the Country Code Names Supporting Organization (ccNSO)

The GAC met with members of the ccNSO Council and discussed matters related to PDP Retirement and review of IDN ccTLD overall recommendations policy. The connection between ccTLDs and ISO-3166 was emphasized several times.

5.4.   Meeting with the Generic Names Supporting Organization (GNSO)

The GAC met in plenary session with members of the GNSO Council. Discussions included the latest developments regarding the second phase of the GNSO expedited policy development process regarding the temporary specification for gTLD Registration Data and the interest some GNSO members

have regarding the development by ICANN org of a regulatory and legislative tracker function. The GNSO is interested in the GAC providing some input into that development process and expressing views on future ICANN org efforts to monitor legislative developments by governments around the world.

5.5. Meeting with the Universal Acceptance Steering Group (UASG)

The UASG met with the GAC in plenary session to share information about their work and to encourage further future dialogue with the GAC. Topics discussed included an overview and status of Universal Acceptance, the steering group's Action Plan for FY20 and how GAC members can help. The discussion prompted some consideration about how the GAC may need to form a working group on the topic of universal acceptance.

Action Point:
- **GAC Leadership** to further consider need and practicality of forming a new working group to address universal acceptance matters.

5.6. Meeting with the Global Commission on the Stability of Cyberspace (GCSC)

Members of the GCSC met with the GAC in plenary session to share information about their work and to encourage further future dialogue with the GAC members. Topics discussed included: GCSC membership, mission, and draft norms.

6. INTERNAL GAC MATTERS

6.1. GAC Operational Matters

GAC Support staff reported that the 2019 election process for GAC Vice chairs is to be initiated shortly after the ICANN65 meeting. Staff advised that the nomination period will be open through 18 September 2019. If needed, a balloting process will be conducted at the end of the nomination period until 5 November 2019, during the ICANN66 meeting in Montreal, after which time the election results will be announced.

Action Point:
- **Interested GAC members** to submit third-party or self-nominations for Vice Chair positions through 18 September.

# # #

Attachment 1 - ICANN65 Marrakech - GAC ATTENDEES LIST

GAC Members (71)		
Argentina	Georgia	Oman
Australia	Germany	Paraguay
Austria	Republic of Guinea	Peru
Azerbaijan	Guyana	Portugal
Bangladesh	Holy See - Vatican City State	Qatar
Belgium	India	Russian Federation
Botswana	Indonesia	São Tomé and Príncipe
Brazil	Iran	Senegal
Burkina Faso	Italy	Somalia
Burundi	Japan	Spain
Cambodia	Jamaica	Sudan
Canada	Kenya	Sweden
China	Republic of Korea	Switzerland
Colombia	Laos	Chinese Taipei
Comoros	Liberia	Timor Leste
Cook Islands	Lithuania	Trinidad and Tobago
Côte d'Ivoire	Luxembourg	Ukraine
Czech Republic	Madagascar	United Kingdom
Democratic Republic of Congo	Mali	United States of America
Denmark	Morocco	Uruguay
Egypt	Netherlands	Venezuela
European Commission	New Zealand	Zimbabwe
Finland	Niger	
France	Nigeria	
Republic of the Gambia	Niue	

GAC Observers (6)	
Council of Europe (CoE)	International Criminal Police Organization (INTERPOL)
Caribbean Telecommunications Union (CTU)	Organisation Internationale de la Francophonie (OIF)
Commonwealth Telecommunications Organization (CTO)	World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO)

Remote Participants (6)	
Bosnia and Herzegovina	Pakistan
Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, China	Singapore
Norway	Uganda

Attachment 2 - ICANN65 Action Points Compilation

#	Subject Matter	Action Point
1	WHOIS	**GAC Members** to comment on the proposed draft GAC Early Input to EPDP Phase 2 immediately after ICANN65
2	WHOIS	**European Commission** to share results of its effort to identify public authorities requiring non-public registration data in the European Union
3	WHOIS	**GAC Members** to consider assembling indicative lists of their public authorities and other relevant parties requiring non-public registration data
4	WHOIS	**GAC Small Group and GAC Representatives on the EPDP** to consider possibilities to increase GAC Membership involvement in EPDP deliberations despite exceptional circumstances
5	WHOIS	**GAC Members** to consider joining the GAC Small Group on GDPR and following EPDP deliberations (all conducted publicly).
6	2 Character Codes	**Interested GAC members** to continue to use registration tool and prepare to share further feedback at the ICANN66 Montreal meeting.
7	DNS Abuse Mitigation	**PSWG** to advise the GAC on possible follow-up to GAC Hyderabad and Copenhagen Communiqué Advice during ICANN66
8	DNS Abuse Mitigation	**PSWG** to monitor progress towards timely implementation of CCT Review recommendations related to DNS Abuse mitigation
9	DNS Abuse Mitigation	**GAC** to take part in planned cross-community discussions during ICANN66
10	Geographic Names	**GAC Member**s to participate in deliberations and provide input on policy options being discussed in WT5
11	BGIG	**GAC leadership and support staff** to work with ICANN Board to schedule Marrakech Communiqué Clarification call
12	BGIG	**GAC leadership and support staff** to explore options for intersessional BGIG call between the Marrakech and Montreal GAC meetings
13	ATRT3 Review	**The GAC leadership** expects to address and circulate further requests for information from the ATRT3 GAC Work Party which will then be shared with interested GAC members
14	RDS-WHOIS2 Review	**GAC Members** to consider the Final Report and Recommendation of the RDS-WHOIS2 Review Team to be published in the weeks following ICANN65.
15	CCT Review	**GAC Focal Group** on Subsequent Rounds of New gTLDs to track implementation of relevant CCT Review Recommendations

| 16 | HRIL WG | **GAC Support** to submit questions on HRIA to ICANN org Public Responsibility Support Team & share answers with HRIL WG and GAC mailing lists |

#	Subject Matter	Action Point
17	HRIL WG	**HRIL WG** to continue working on options paper on the implementation of Human Rights Core Value
18	HRIL WG	**HRIL WG** to submit Cross-Community/High Interest Topic Session Proposal to GAC Chair for ICANN66 planning purposes
19	USR WG	**USRWG** to share its work plan with the GAC for review and endorsement
20	USR WG	**USRWG** to share revised version of GAC Travel Support Rules with the GAC by mid September 2019
21	USR WG	**USRWG and ICANN Government Engagement team** to develop four (4) workshops for FY20 (Latin America, Asia, Pacific, and Middle East regions)
22	GOPE WG	**GOPE WG** to review the WG guidelines document following ICANN65
23	GOPE WG	**GOPE WG** to consider interviewing current WG leads to identify potential issues, items of relevance for the development of WG guidelines
24	Focal Group on Sub Pro	**GAC Members** have been invited to consider joining the GAC Focal Group
25	Focal Group on Sub Pro	**GAC Focal Group** will continue review of the "Preliminary GAC Scorecard: Status of Substantive Areas of Interest to the GAC on the Subsequent Procedures of new gTLDs"
26	Focal Group on Sub Pro	**GAC Focal Group** to meet intersessionally 1-2 times per month, as required.
27	Focal Group on Sub Pro	**GAC Support Staff** to keep GAC Focal Group up to date on relevant developments in the GNSO new gTLDs Subsequent Procedures PDP WG, including expected timeline of discussions on specific policy areas.
28	Focal Group on Sub Pro	**GAC Support Staff** to revise Focal Group documentation towards including GAC Advice and prior agreed language on specific policy issues, as well as high level agreements reached in the GNSO new gTLDs Subsequent Procedures PDP WG.
29	Meeting with the ALAC	**GAC and ALAC Topic Leads** to work to develop a work plan for future GAC/ALAC policy issues initiatives for presentation and discussion at ICANN66
30	Meeting with the ALAC	**GAC Focal Group** to consider interactions with ALAC as appropriate
31	Meeting with the UASG	**GAC Leadership** to further consider need and practicality of forming a new working group to address universal acceptance matters
32	Operational Matters	**Interested GAC members** to submit third-party or self nominations for Vice Chair positions through 18 September